Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Limitation Regulation II of 1062.

We, the members of the Select Committee appointed to consider the Bill to amend the Limitation Regulation II of 1062, beg to report as follows :—

We have added an explanation to the definition of 'immoveable property' given in Section 2 of the Bill, which would remove the doubt that the wording of the definition may raise as to whether fixtures, after removal, should be treated as immoveable or moveable property.

We have added a new Section after Section 6 of the Bill, to correct a clerical error which had crept into Section 10 of Regulation II of 1062 and renumbered the other Sections of the Bill.

We have omitted the words "or by any other public document" in Section 10 of the Bill (now Section 11) as such document will seldom evidence a voluntary payment, and would often contain an acknowledgment of title within the meaning of Section 19 of Regulation II of 1062.

We have also omitted the last para of Section 13 of the Bill (now Section 14) which makes the decisions given in suits brought under this section, *final*. When Regulation III of 1040 was in force, appeals and reviews in cases of this class were allowed. We see no sufficient grounds to disallow the right of appeal and review.

We have included Article No. 58 of the schedule among those which should be omitted, as the cases provided by it are covered by the article substituted by Section 15 of the Bill (now Section 16).

Section 17 of the Bill as amended by us is new and supplies a defect in the existing law. As Hindu families and Tarawards are sometimes managed by others than fathers and Karanavans, we have thought it proper to extend the meaning of these terms to all those who are in actual management. In the absence of this explanation, there is no period of limitation applicable to alienations made by *de facto* managers.

In consequence of the addition of Section 17, Section 16 of the Bill is renumbered as Section 18.

Section 19 is new. The object of the provisions made in this Section is to give a starting point for execution of decrees from the dates mentioned. The delays in the disposal of applications and execution of processes often act prejudicially to the interests of parties. It is nothing but right that they should not have this effect.

K. Krishnaswamy Row.
V. Nagam Aiyar.
E. Rama Aiyar.

5th May 1893.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly